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# Team Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Lead** |  |
| **Research Team Members** |  |
| **Date** |  |
| **Institution(s)** |  |

# Background

*Describe the population and condition or phenomenon of interest and contextualize it. In other words, describe what this review is about.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Objective

*Describe the justification for this review. In other words, describe why this review/the information it collects is important.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Review Question

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Full Review Question**  *Provide the full review question in sentence format, and then break up the question according to the PICO framework (or other frameworks as appropriate).* | |
|  | |
| **Population** |  |
| **Intervention** |  |
| **Comparison** |  |
| **Outcome** |  |

# Search Strategy

|  |
| --- |
| **Databases**  *List the bibliographic databases to be searched.* |
|  |
| **Hand Searching**  *List journals or websites that will be hand searched for relevant articles.* |
|  |
| **Experts or Stakeholders**  *If experts or key stakeholders are being contacted for additional grey literature or research, list them and how they will be contacted.* |
|  |
| **Reference Searches**  *If forward or backward citations will be performed (also known as chain or snowball searching), detail them here.* |
|  |

# Eligibility Criteria

*Operationalize your PICO (or other framework) concepts by explicitly stating what would and would not meet inclusion. Wherever possible, provide definitions, ICD codes or other identifiers to be as clear as possible.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PICO** | **Inclusion Criteria** | **Exclusion Criteria** |
| Population |  |  |
| Intervention |  |  |
| Comparison |  |  |
| Outcomes |  |  |

# Data Extraction

*Provide a description of methods used to collect data from included studies (e.g. categories of data you intend to collect, how many people will conduct extraction, how disagreements will be resolved, etc).*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Study Quality Assessment

*If applicable, describe the tool(s) you will use to assess risk of bias.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Data Synthesis

*Describe how you will analyze and summarize the included study results.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Project Timetable

*Fill out the following Gantt chart according to your estimated project timelines.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month | Month |
| **Preparation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Conduct searches** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Pilot test eligibility criteria** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Ti/Ab + Full Text Selection** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Pilot test data collection** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Data collection** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Pilot risk of bias assessments** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Conduct assessments** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Conduct synthesis & interpret results** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Write manuscript** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Research Team Member Roles

*Describe the different tasks on the review and who will be responsible for what.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Task | Description | Team Member Responsible |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# References

|  |
| --- |
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