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**Assessment Form of the Master’s Thesis 2026**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name Student |  |
| Matrikel number |  |
| Name Supervisor |  |
| Title of the thesis |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Assessment of the practical work** (please tick) |
| **Criteria** | **Very good** | **Good** | **Sufficient** | **Fail** |
| Understanding of the problem, independence in planning and implementation |  |  |  |  |
| Commitment and motivation |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of project work/laboratory work: planning, organisation, accurate and reliable work |  |  |  |  |
| Laboratory journal/documentation: presentation, reproducibility |  |  |  |  |
| Independence in interpretation and evaluation of the results |  |  |  |  |
| Independence in writing the report |  |  |  |  |

**Grade A:** 6.0 □ 5.5 □ 5.0□ 4.5□ 4.0□ 3.5 □ 3.0 □ 2.5 □ 2.0 □

|  |
| --- |
| **Assessment of the written thesis** (please tick) |
| **Criteria** | **Very good** | **Good** | **Sufficient** | **Fail** |
| General structure |  |  |  |  |
| Introduction: Current literature correctly reproduced, open questions and hypotheses formulated, aim of the project is clear |  |  |  |  |
| Material and methods: Complete and clearly described so that the experiments/study/data collection can be followed |  |  |  |  |
| Display of the results: Objectively described and illustrated with the help of meaningful tables and graphs |  |  |  |  |
| Discussion: interpretation of the results, limitations, scientific argumentation |  |  |  |  |
| References: Correctly cited |  |  |  |  |
| Language: clarity, comprehensibility |  |  |  |  |
| Annex appropriate and complete |  |  |  |  |

**Grade B:** 6.0 □ 5.5 □ 5.0□ 4.5□ 4.0□ 3.5 □ 3.0 □ 2.5 □ 2.0 □

|  |
| --- |
| **Assessment of the oral presentation**  (please tick) |
| **Criteria** | **Very good** | **Good** | **Sufficient** | **Fail** |
| 1. Talk
 |
| General structure of the talk (introduction, main part, conclusion) |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of the slides (readability, use of font, graphics and colour, structure) |  |  |  |  |
| Presentation (speech flow, eye contact, gestures, authenticity) |  |  |  |  |
| Linguistic accuracy |  |  |  |  |
| Relevance of the contents (the most important results of the Master thesis were presented and discussed) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Discussion
 |
| Answering questions (of knowledge) |  |  |  |  |
| Formulating and substantiating hypotheses |  |  |  |  |
| "Depth” of the scientific discussion |  |  |  |  |

**Grade C:** 6.0 □ 5.5 □ 5.0□ 4.5□ 4.0□ 3.5 □ 3.0 □ 2.5 □ 2.0 □

Date of the oral presentation: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Final grading:

**Final grade** (average of A, B, C, rounded to half grade): **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Responsible person: (if applicable) Co-supervisor:

Name: Name:

Place, Date: Place, Date:

Signature: Signature:

**Submission**
The responsible person personally informs the student about the assessment, hands over the signed assessment form to the student and sends an electronic copy by **31st August 2026** at the latest to verena.schroeder@unibe.ch AND pharmazie.meddek@unibe.ch .